

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/071,409	Applicant(s) NEAL, GREG	
	Examiner Sameer K. Gokhale	Art Unit 2673	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Pending

 (1) Sameer K. Gokhale (USPTO).

(3) _____.

 (2) Michael J. Ferrazano (applicant's representative).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 January 2006
Time: 12:30 EST
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claim 17

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representative agreed to an examiner's amendment of claim 17 to delete the word "and" which has hanging at the end of the claim.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)